

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/554,133	10/20/2005	Clifford J Herman	1332 WO/US	9352	
Jeffrey S Boon	7590 03/29/2007	EXAMINER			
Mallinckrodt Inc 675 McDonnell Boulevard			CLAYTOR, DEIRDRE RENEE		
PO Box 5840	ii Boulevard	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
St Louis, MO	63134	1617			
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
31 DAYS		03/29/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/554,1	33	HERMAN, CLIFFORD J				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Renee Cl		1617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR EXPENSE IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the properties of the properties of the maximum statutory reto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF TI CFR 1.136(a). In no evi ion. period will apply and w statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION ent, however, may a reply be timil expire SIX (6) MONTHS from blication to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1) 🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	20 October 200	95.					
2a)□								
3)	,—							
•—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the applic	ation.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
·	Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)⊠	8) Claim(s) 1-49 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminor						
	•		Cobjected to by the I	-vaminas	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
		ne Examiner. 140	he the attached Office	Action of tonn P	10-152.			
_	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
		•						
Attachmen	i(s) .							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application								
Paper	r No(s)/Mail Date		6) Other:	atent Application				
			 -					

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- I. Group I, claim(s) 1-23, drawn to a methylphenidate solution comprising methylphenidate and at least one pharmaceutically acceptable organic acid.
- II. Group II, claim(s) 24-34, drawn to a method of treating a patient for a disorder treatable by methylphenidate which comprises administering a therapeutically effective amount of methylphenidate in a liquid solution.
- III. Group III, claim(s) 35-49, drawn to a method of producing a chemically stable methylphenidate solution comprising determining a dosage of methylphenidate; dissolving the methylphenidate and organic acid in a solvent system.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is only permitted if all inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The method for determining whether the requirement of unity of invention is satisfied is described as follows: unity of inventions

Application/Control Number: 10/554,133

Art Unit: 1617

exists only when there is a technical relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features. The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature", should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: a methylphenidate solution comprising methylphenidate and at least on pharmaceutically acceptable organic acid is disclosed in US Patent 6,127,385. Nasal solutions containing methylphenidate dissolved in an non-aqueous solvent and an organic acid is disclosed in Col. 4, lines 49-63. Therefore, US Patent 6,127,385 discloses a methylphenidate solution comprising methylphenidate that is dissolved in a non-aqueous solvent and one organic acid that is identical to the solution described in Invention I.

As a result, no special technical feature exists among the different groups of inventions because Invention I fails to make a contribution over the prior art due to a corresponding lack of novelty (i.e., the composition of Invention I is already known in, and therefore anticipated by, the prior art). In conclusion, there is a lack of unity of invention, as Inventions I, II or III do not relate to, and are not so linked as to form, a single general inventive concept.

Art Unit: 1617

Conclusion to Restriction Requirement

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of an invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Due to the complex nature of the instant restriction requirement, a written restriction requirement was necessitated. See MPEP § 812.01.

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Renee Claytor whose telephone number is 571-272-8394. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/554,133

Art Unit: 1617

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Renee Claytor

SREENI PADMANABHAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 5